RETIRED JUDGE DANIEL EMERSON NASTRO DISPUTE RESOLUTIONS

P. O. BOX 36508 PHOENIX, AZ. 85067-6508 (602) 996-8312

${\bf daniel.nastro@disputere solutions.net}$

1955 1959	Graduated St. Peter's College Cum Laude J.D., Fordham Law School
1971-85	Hearing officer for Board of Medical Examiners and Dental Examiners; and Departments of Health Services, Liquor, Real Estate and Insurance
1974-75 1980-85	On call Court Commissioner for Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Pro Tempore for Maricopa County Superior Court, served in Criminal, Civil, Special Assignment and Domestic Relations
1985-99	Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court, served in Criminal, Civil, Special Assignment and Domestic Relations. Chairman of Judicial Selection Advisory Board for Phoenix Municipal Court 1987 - 1997 Chairman Indigency Determination/Contribution Attorney's Fees Committee - 1991
	Participant in Maricopa County Superior Court Mandatory Settlement Conference Project, which led to adoption of Maricopa County Superior Local Rule 3.11. Served on the faculty of the Settlement Conference Seminars for Superior Court Judges statewide
1990-96	Presided over experimental binding, advisory, interactive summary jury trials with inclusion of high-lows. These are now known as mini or short trials that can also have a high-low factor.
1992	Recipient of the Hon. Henry S. Stevens Award presented by the Maricopa
1993	County Bar Association for Outstanding Service to the Legal Profession.
1995	Jurist of the Year Award presented by the Arizona Trial Lawyers Association and the Arizona Association of Defense Counsel
1999	Retired from Maricopa County Superior Court. Presently operating Daniel E. Nastro Dispute Resolutions covering private mediation and arbitration services Recipient of Appreciation for Outstanding Service from American Board of Trial Advocates

Daniel E. Nastro Dispute Resolutions

While presiding over my civil calendar in Maricopa County Superior Court in the late 1980s, and working to balance my division's case load, I was trying to find a way to keep my calendar solvent. Frequently, two cases would become due for trial on the same day. One would be transferred to the Case Transfer Division for reassignment to another Judge. Many times, the Case Transfer Division, could not timely find another judge to handle the case and would transfer that case back to the original trial judge to be reset for trial at a later date. These cases were experiencing heavy cost for cancelling their expert witnesses, as well as all other expenses.

During my experimental period of introduction of settlements conferences into Arizona, I developed other avenues to enhance arriving at a full agreement. When a settlement case was deadlocked, I utilized advisory summary juries enabling the attorneys to discuss their positions with the jurors to obtain insight into the risk potential and then assess the feasibility of settlement. Each side had 45 minutes to present their most critical facts to the four person jury. Later, we added the Binding Summary Jury Trials with a high/low and Interactive Jury Trials, still with an expedited time frame of one-two days. In the latter situation, the jurors would hear the agreed upon evidence in a condensed manner, then deliberate, and when they were sufficiently grounded in the case and its issues, return to the Court for further discussion and analysis of the issues deemed critical with the attorneys. Despite the comparative shortness of the trial, attorneys opined that they had never tried a case so completely with the knowledge that the jurors had fully considered all issues. Jurors' post hearing letters applauded the efficiency and effectiveness of the procedures and were pleased to have provided the service to the Court.

Today, Settlement Conferences, Mini Trials and Summary Jury Trials are routinely utilized resulting in reduced cost to the parties and the elimination of Court congestion. Hi/Lows are added by the attorneys with stipulated terms.